

Martin McMullen, Architect.  
Flat 1 49 Elgin Terrace  
Edinburgh  
EH7 5PQ

Bannerman Developments  
Limited.  
17 Craighall Gardens  
Edinburgh  
EH6 4RH

**Decision date: 25 April 2023**

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS  
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013**

Planning permission and change of use for an existing main door holiday let to a short-term holiday let (in retrospect).  
At 7 Pier Place Edinburgh EH6 4LP

**Application No: 22/05833/FULSTL**

**DECISION NOTICE**

With reference to your application for Planning Permission STL registered on 23 November 2022, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

**Reason for Refusal:-**

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short stay let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short stay let will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property has not been justified.

Please see the guidance notes on our [decision page](#) for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01 - 02, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the [Planning and Building Standards Online Services](#)

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The change of use of this property to a Short Term Let will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or the loss of residential accommodation.

The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact Benny Buckle directly at [benny.buckle@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:benny.buckle@edinburgh.gov.uk).



**Chief Planning Officer**  
**PLACE**  
**The City of Edinburgh Council**

## NOTES

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at [www.eplanning.scot](http://www.eplanning.scot) or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email [localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk).

2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

# Report of Handling

**Application for Planning Permission STL  
7 Pier Place, Edinburgh, EH6 4LP**

**Proposal: Planning permission and change of use for an existing  
main door holiday let to a short-term holiday let (in retrospect).**

**Item – Local Delegated Decision  
Application Number – 22/05833/FULSTL  
Ward – B04 - Forth**

## **Recommendation**

It is recommended that this application be **Refused** subject to the details below.

## **Summary**

The change of use of this property to a Short Term Let will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or the loss of residential accommodation.

The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

## **SECTION A – Application Background**

### **Site Description**

The application site relates to a one-bedroom house, at 7 Pier Place. Access is gained via a private entrance. The proposed property is located within the Newhaven conservation area.

The house is located within an B listed building (43724), listed on 29/04/1977.

### **Description of The Proposal**

The application is for retrospective planning permission for the change of use from residential to short term let.

## Supporting Information

- Planning Statement NPF4

## Relevant Site History

No relevant site history.

## Other Relevant Site History

No further relevant site history.

## Consultation Engagement

No consultations.

## Publicity and Public Engagement

**Date of Neighbour Notification:** 30 November 2022

**Date of Advertisement:** 9 December 2022

**Date of Site Notice:** 9 December 2022

**Number of Contributors:** 0

## Section B - Assessment

### Determining Issues

Due to the proposals relating to a listed building(s) and being within a conservation area, this report will first consider the proposals in terms of Sections 59 and 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 (the "1997 Heritage Act"):

- a) Is there a strong presumption against granting planning permission due to the proposals:
  - (i) harming the listed building or its setting? or
  - (ii) conflicting with the objective of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area?
- b) If the strong presumption against granting planning permission is engaged, are there any significant public interest advantages of the development which can only be delivered at the scheme's proposed location that are sufficient to outweigh it?

This report will then consider the proposed development under Sections 24, 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (the 1997 Act):

Having regard to the legal requirement of Section 24(3), in the event of any policy incompatibility between National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) & Edinburgh Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP) the newer policy shall prevail.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for approving them?

In the assessment of material considerations this report will consider:

- equalities and human rights;
- public representations; and
- any other identified material considerations.

## **Assessment**

### **a) The proposals harm the listed building and its setting?**

The following HES guidance is relevant in the determination of this application:

- Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Guidance on the principles of listed buildings
- Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Setting

Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Interim Guidance on the principles of listed building consent sets out the principles for assessing the impact of a development on a listed building.

Managing Change in the Historic Environment: Setting sets out the principles that apply to developments affecting the setting of historic assets or places including listed buildings and conservation areas. It includes factors to be considered in assessing the impact of a change on the setting.

There are no external or internal alterations proposed. As such, the proposal will not have an adverse impact on or cause harm to the listed building. The setting of the listed building and the setting of neighbouring listed buildings will be unaffected by the proposal.

### **Conclusion in relation to the listed building**

The proposal does not harm the character of the listed building, or its setting. It is therefore acceptable with regard to Section 59 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997.

### **b) The proposals harm the character or appearance of the conservation area?**

Section 64(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 states:

*"In exercise, with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, of any powers under any of the provisions in subsection (2), special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area."*

The Newhaven Conservation Area Character appraisal states: *"The Newhaven Conservation Area Character Appraisal emphasises the importance of the harbour*

*area, the historic alignment and traditional character of the buildings, the prominent views to Fife across the Firth of Forth, and the predominant use of traditional materials."*

There are no external alterations. The change of use from a residential premises to a short-term let will not have any material impact on the character of the conservation area. The change of use would preserve the appearance of the conservation area.

### **Conclusion in relation to the conservation area**

The proposals comply with Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997.

### **c) The proposals comply with the development plan?**

National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) was adopted by the Scottish Ministers on 13 February 2023 and forms part of the Council's Development Plan. NPF4 policies supports the planning and delivery of Sustainable Places, Liveable Places and Productive Places and are the key policies against which proposals for development are assessed. Several policies in the Edinburgh Local Development Plan (LDP) are superseded by equivalent and alternative policies within NPF4.

The relevant NPF4 and LDP 2016 policies to be considered are:

- NPF4 Sustainable Places Tackling the climate and nature crises Policy 1.
- NPF4 Productive Places Tourism Policy 30.
- NPF4 Historic Assets and Places Policy 7.
- Local Development Plan Housing Policy, Hou 7.
- Local Development Plan Transport Policies, Tra 2 and Tra 3

The non-statutory Listed Building and Conservation Area Guidance is a material consideration when considering NPF 4 Policy 7.

The non-statutory Guidance for Business (update April 2023) is a material consideration that is relevant when considering LDP Policy Hou 7 and the Edinburgh Design Guidance is a material consideration when considering LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

### **Listed Building and Setting**

The impact on the setting of the listed building and on the setting of neighbouring listed buildings has been assessed in section a) above which concluded that this would be preserved.

The proposal complies with the objectives of NPF 4 Policy 7.

### **Conservation Area**

The impact on the character and appearance of the conservation area has been considered above in b). It is concluded that the change of use would preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area.

The proposal complies with NPF 4 Policy 7.

### Proposed Use

With regards to NPF 4 Policy 1, the proposed change of use does not involve operational development resulting in physical changes to the property. The proposals will have a negligible impact on the global climate and nature crisis.

NPF 4 Policy 30 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. Criterion 30 (b) and (e) specifically relate to STL proposals.

LDP Policy Hou 7 (Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas), seeks to protect residential amenity.

The non-statutory Guidance for Businesses states that an assessment of a change of use of dwellings to a short term let will have regard to:

- The character of the new use and of the wider area;
- The size of the property;
- The pattern of activity associated with the use including numbers of occupants, the period of use, issues of noise, disturbance and parking demand and
- The nature and character of any services provided.

### *Amenity*

The property is situated in a primarily residential mixed-use area. Access is via a private front door. The property is located between the Alien Rock indoor climbing centre and a residential property.

The use as a short term let would allow visitors to come and go at the premises for inconsistent periods of time on a regular basis throughout the year in a manner dissimilar to that of permanent residents. Guests can come and go frequently throughout the day and night conflicting with the amenity of immediate residential occupants. Temporary visitors are also likely to have less regard for the local amenity. This would increase the ambient background noise that residents would reasonably expect within the immediate and local area. This increase in frequency of movement to the flat at unpredictable hours would damage the amenity of the immediate neighbours and adjacent properties.

A change of use would increase the level of ambient background noise beyond what is reasonably expected by neighbouring residents and will have a significant detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents. The proposal does not comply with NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (i) and LDP policy Hou 7.

### *Loss of residential accommodation*

NPF 4 policy 30 (e) part (ii) requires that where there is a loss of residential accommodation, this will only be supported where the loss is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.



Paragraph 220 of the LDP acknowledges that tourism is the biggest source of employment in Edinburgh, providing jobs for over 31,000 people. The use of the property by guests and the required maintenance and upkeep of STL properties are likely to result in a level of job creation and spend within the economy which can be classed as having an economic benefit.

However, having the property within residential use would also contribute to the economy, through the use of a variety of local services and employment opportunities across the City. Long term residents also have the ability to make consistent and long-term contributions to the local community.

The proposal would result in the loss of residential accommodation. There is a recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh, therefore, it is important to retain the existing supply where appropriate.

The applicant provided a planning statement that stated the proposal supported the local economy via guests spending, job creation and increase trade for associated servicing. The statement also commentated that as the property has been in STL use for two years so would not result in an loss of housing.

In this instance, it has not been sufficiently demonstrated that the loss of the residential accommodation is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. As such, the proposal does not comply with NPF 4 30(e) part (ii).

#### Parking Standards

There is no vehicle parking and no cycle parking. Zero parking is acceptable as there are no parking requirements for STLs. Cycles could be parked inside the property.

The proposals comply with LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

#### **Conclusion in relation to the Development Plan**

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7.

#### **d) There are any other material considerations which must be addressed?**

The following material planning considerations have been identified:

##### Emerging policy context

City Plan 2030 represents the settled will of the Council, and it has been submitted to Scottish Ministers for examination. As such, limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

##### Equalities and human rights

Due regard has been given to section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010. No impacts have been identified.

Consideration has been given to human rights. No impacts have been identified through the assessment and no comments have been received in relation to human rights.

#### Public representations

The application received no public representations.

#### **Conclusion in relation to identified material considerations**

None of the identified material considerations outweigh the proposals in compliance with the Development Plan.

#### **Overall conclusion**

The change of use of this property to a Short Term Let will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or the loss of residential accommodation.

The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

### **Section C - Conditions/Reasons/Informatives**

The recommendation is subject to the following;

#### **Conditions**

#### **Reasons**

##### **Reason for Refusal**

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short stay let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short stay let will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property has not been justified.

#### **Background Reading/External References**

To view details of the application go to the [Planning Portal](#)

**Further Information -** [Local Development Plan](#)

**Date Registered: 23 November 2022**

**Drawing Numbers/Scheme**

01 - 02

Scheme 1

**David Givan**  
**Chief Planning Officer**  
**PLACE**  
**The City of Edinburgh Council**

Contact: Benny Buckle, Assistant Planning Officer  
E-mail: [benny.buckle@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:benny.buckle@edinburgh.gov.uk)

## Appendix 1

### **Consultations**

No consultations undertaken.